



CRH F. #2008R01013 United States Attorney Eastern District of New York

271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

November 18, 2019

By ECF

cc:

The Honorable Kiyo A. Matsumoto United States District Court Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: United States v. Jonathan Braun

Criminal Docket No. 10-433 (KAM)

Dear Judge Matsumoto:

The government writes in response to the defendant's motion to vacate his sentence based on ineffective assistance of counsel. <u>See</u> Doc Entry No. 174. The defendant argues that thencounsel was unaware of several anonymous letters that were discussed at sentencing, because those letters were sent to an email address that counsel normally did not use. As a result, counsel did not discuss the letters' contents with his client or discuss a potential response. Counsel also did not attend sentencing, instead sending an associate from his firm, who likewise was unaware of the letters prior to sentencing. The defendant argues that this conduct constitutes ineffective assistance, which caused him prejudice because the Court considered these anonymous letters when the Court imposed a ten-year sentence. Based on this claim, the defendant seeks resentencing.

The government does not concede the merits of the defendant's claims—either that the conduct constitutes ineffective assistance or that the Court actually considered the letters as a basis for the sentence. However, in light of the factual representations about then-counsel's conduct and the additional facts presented about the contents of the letters, the government does not object to a resentencing of the defendant.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD P. DONOGHUE United States Attorney

By: /s/ Craig R. Heeren

Craig R. Heeren

Assistant U.S. Attorney

(718) 254-6467

Marc Fernich, Esq. (counsel for defendant) (by email)